Methodological quality of the included studies

Methodological quality assessment tool Author, year	AMS	AMSTAR-2															Overall			
	Items															assessment				
	1	2	1	3	4	5	6	7	8		9	10	11	12	13	1	14	15	16	1
Edwards et al., 2020	Y	Y	1	ſ	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	,	N	Y	NM	NM	Y		Y	NM	Y	Low
Chew et al., 2019	Y	N	1	N I	PY	Y	Y	Y	Y	,	Y	Y	NM	NM	N		Y	NM	Y	Low
Methodological quality assessment tool	AG	AGREE-II															Overall			
	Domains															assessment				
Author, year	1				2			3			4			5			6			
NICE, 2020		100%			78%			81%			100%			38%			100%			82.83% ^a
Methodological quality assessment tool	CH	CHEC-list															Overall			
	Item	ıs																		assessment
Author, year.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	
Maervoet, et al., 2019	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Moderate

NM= No meta-analysis conducted; Y= Yes; N= No; PY = Partial yes; ^a Values above 70% represents adequate quality.

Available from: Martín-Gómez C, Baños-Álvarez E, Isabel-Gómez R, Blasco-Amaro J. Evaluation of the safety, efficacy, effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of implantable Holter for polonged monitoring in patients with previous stroke: a systematic review. GMS Health Innov Technol. 2023;17:Doc05. DOI: 10.3205/hta000137