

Attachment 4

Meta-analysis feasibility assessment

Table S1 Feasibility assessment for meta-analysis of individual outcomes

Outcome	Number of studies (>3)	Low assessment of quality or of risk of bias (bias in blinding, randomisation, missing outcome data, outcome assessment)	Population, intervention, comparator, outcome(s), time frame, and study design (PICOTS) assessment (clinical and methodological diversity)					Meta-analysis feasibility decision
			Population (eligibility, key demographics)	Intervention and comparator	Outcome (definition and means of reporting)	Study design	Time frame	
External fixator devices								
Pin tract infections	2 studies: Dirschl and Smith (1998) [4] and Sung <i>et al.</i> (2008) [5]	Different	Similar eligibility	Similar device(s)	Definition similarity unclear	Different		Does not meet criteria – too few studies
			Sung <i>et al.</i> (2008): Aged 18 years and over, could consent, functioned independently, lived locally, sustained a fracture of the humerus, distal radius, wrist, femur, tibia, or ankle for which external fixation was the chosen initial treatment	Both: Stryker Hoffman (+6 more in Dirschl and Smith (1998))	Dirschl and Smith (1998): Not reported	Sung <i>et al.</i> (2008): Randomised controlled trial (RCT)	Unclear similarity	
				Different locations	Sung <i>et al.</i> (2008): Any site of purulence, erythema, or drainage		Sung <i>et al.</i> (2008): 1–20 weeks	
				Dirschl and Smith (1998): Internal	Dirschl and Smith (1998): Non-randomised controlled trial (NRCT)	Dirschl and Smith (1998): Not reported		
				Dirschl and Smith (1998): All patients with external fixation devices applied at the study centre within the study period	Sung <i>et al.</i> (2008): External	Dirschl and Smith (1998): N, derive % (not reported by reprocessing cycle)		

			Demographics similarity unclear	Different number of reprocessing cycles	Sung <i>et al.</i> (2008): N, %			
			Age: Dirschl and Smith (1998): Not reported	Dirschl and Smith (1998): 1–2				
			Sung <i>et al.</i> (2008): 45 years	Sung <i>et al.</i> (2008): 1				
			% female: Dirschl and Smith (1998): Not reported					
			Sung <i>et al.</i> (2008): 26%					
			Similar eligibility	Similar device(s)	Definition unclear:			
Device failure rate	2 studies: Dirschl and Smith (1998) [4] and Sung <i>et al.</i> (2008) [5]	Different	Sung <i>et al.</i> (2008): Aged 18 years and over, could consent, functioned independently, lived locally, sustained a fracture of the humerus, distal radius, wrist, femur, tibia, or ankle for which external fixation was the chosen initial treatment	Both: Stryker Hoffman (+6 more in Dirschl and Smith (1998))	Dirschl and Smith (1998): Mechanical or other failure	Different	Unclear time frame	Does not meet criteria – too few studies
		Dirschl and Smith (1998): 13/30		Different locations	Sung <i>et al.</i> (2008): Loss of fixation and loosening during follow up	Sung <i>et al.</i> (2008): RCT	Sung <i>et al.</i> (2008): 1–20 weeks	
		Sung <i>et al.</i> (2008): 24/30	Dirschl and Smith (1998): All patients with external fixation devices applied at the study centre within the study period	Dirschl and Smith (1998): Internal		Dirschl and Smith (1998): NRCT	Dirschl and Smith (1998): Not reported	
				Sung <i>et al.</i> (2008): External	Similar measurement			
					Dirschl and Smith (1998): n, derive % (not reported by reprocessing cycle)			
					Demographics similarity unclear	Different number of reprocessing cycles		

Age: Dirschl and Smith (1998): Not reported
 Dirschl and Smith (1998): 1–2
 Sung *et al.* (2008): n, %

Sung *et al.* (2008): 45 years
 Sung *et al.* (2008): 1

% female: Dirschl and Smith (1998): Not reported

Sung *et al.* (2008): 26%

Reoperations	1 study: Dirschl and Smith (1998) [4]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Does not meet criteria – too few studies
--------------	---------------------------------------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	--

Ophthalmic devices

Needle tip issues	1 study: Perry (1996) [6]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Does not meet criteria – too few studies
-------------------	---------------------------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	--

Phacoemulsification time

1 study: Perry (1996) [6]	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Does not meet criteria – too few studies
---------------------------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	--

Endoscopic and laparoscopic devices

Procedure time	3 studies: Brady <i>et al.</i> (2017) [1]	Similar Brady <i>et al.</i> (2017): 23/30	Similar eligibility Brady <i>et al.</i> (2017): Patients attending for laparoscopic	Broadly similar devices/procedures Brady <i>et al.</i> (2017): LigaSure Sealer/Divider 5 mm–37 cm for	Same definition	Different Brady <i>et al.</i> (2017): NRCT	Similar Brady <i>et al.</i> (2017):	Does not meet criteria – different measurements, non-normal
----------------	---	---	---	---	-----------------	--	-------------------------------------	---

Mihanović <i>et al.</i> (2021) [3]	Mihanović <i>et al.</i> (2021): 28/30	resections of right and sigmoid colectomies	laparoscopic colorectal surgery	Different measurements (minutes)	Mihanović <i>et al.</i> (2021): RCT	Procedure duration	outcome distribution
de Sousa <i>et al.</i> (2018) [2]	de Sousa <i>et al.</i> (2018): 24/30	Mihanović <i>et al.</i> (2021): All patients with acute appendicitis de Sousa <i>et al.</i> (2018): All surgical interventions using ultrasonic scalpel/shears/scissors (Harmonic ACE®) and the linear suture machine (GIA Covidien™) with cut and anastomosis (various regions of body)	Mihanović <i>et al.</i> (2021): Ultrasonic scalpel/shears/scissors for laparoscopic appendectomy de Sousa <i>et al.</i> (2018): Ultrasonic s scalpel/shears/scissors (Harmonic ACE®) for digestive or thoracic surgery (intestines, stomach, oesophagus)	Brady <i>et al.</i> (2017): μ , standard deviation (SD) (unadjusted) de Sousa <i>et al.</i> (2018): μ , SD (unadjusted) Mihanović <i>et al.</i> (2021): (Adjusted) median, interquartile range (IQR)	de Sousa <i>et al.</i> (2018): NRCT	Mihanović <i>et al.</i> (2021): Procedure duration de Sousa <i>et al.</i> (2018): Procedure duration	
		Different demographics	Different locations	de Sousa <i>et al.</i> (2018) report overall and by diagnosis-related group			
		Age: Brady <i>et al.</i> (2017): 66 years	Brady <i>et al.</i> (2017): External				
		Mihanović <i>et al.</i> (2021): 15 years	Mihanović <i>et al.</i> (2021): Internal				
		de Sousa <i>et al.</i> (2018): 57 years	de Sousa <i>et al.</i> (2018): External				
		% female: Brady <i>et al.</i> (2017): 50%					
		Mihanović <i>et al.</i> (2021): 20%	Same number of reprocessing cycles:				

de Sousa *et al.* (2018): 60% Brady *et al.* (2017): 1

Health status: Brady *et al.* (2017): Body mass index (BMI) 30 Mihanović *et al.* (2021): 1

Mihanović *et al.* (2021): BMI 20 de Sousa *et al.* (2018): 1

de Sousa *et al.* (2018): Not reported

			Similar eligibility	Broadly similar devices/procedures				
Duration of hospital stay	3 studies:	Similar	Brady <i>et al.</i> (2017): Patients attending for laparoscopic resections of right and sigmoid colectomies	Brady <i>et al.</i> (2017): LigaSure Sealer/Divider 5 mm–37 cm for laparoscopic colorectal surgery	Same definition	Different	Similar	
	Brady <i>et al.</i> (2017) [1]	Brady <i>et al.</i> (2017): 23/30	Mihanović <i>et al.</i> (2021): All patients with acute appendicitis	Mihanović <i>et al.</i> (2021): Ultrasonic scalpel/shears/scissors for laparoscopic appendectomy	Different measurements (days)	Brady <i>et al.</i> (2017): NRCT	Brady <i>et al.</i> (2017): Length of stay	Does not meet criteria – different measurements, non-normal outcome distribution
	Mihanović <i>et al.</i> (2021) [3]	Mihanović <i>et al.</i> (2021): 28/30	de Sousa <i>et al.</i> (2018): All surgical interventions performed in 2014 in which ultrasonic scalpel/shears/scissors (Harmonic ACE® -5 mm/36 cm C/rod) and the linear suture machine GIA Covidien™ with cut and anastomosis (No. 55/60-3.8, No. 75/80-3.8, and No. 75/80-	de Sousa <i>et al.</i> (2018): Ultrasonic scalpel/shears/scissors (Harmonic ACE®) for digestive or thoracic surgery (intestines, stomach, oesophagus)	Brady <i>et al.</i> (2017): μ , SD (unadjusted)	Mihanović <i>et al.</i> (2021): RCT	Mihanović <i>et al.</i> (2021): Length of stay	
	de Sousa <i>et al.</i> (2018) [2]	de Sousa <i>et al.</i> (2018): 24/30			de Sousa <i>et al.</i> (2018): μ , SD (unadjusted)	de Sousa <i>et al.</i> (2018): NRCT	de Sousa <i>et al.</i> (2018): Length of stay	
					Mihanović <i>et al.</i> (2021): Median, IQR			

4.8) were used (various regions of body)	Different locations
Different demographics	Brady <i>et al.</i> (2017): External
Age: Brady <i>et al.</i> (2017): 66 years	Mihanović <i>et al.</i> (2021): Internal
Mihanović <i>et al.</i> (2021): 15 years	de Sousa <i>et al.</i> (2018): External
de Sousa <i>et al.</i> (2018): 57 years	
% female: Brady <i>et al.</i> (2017): 50%	Same number of reprocessing cycles:
Mihanović <i>et al.</i> (2021): 20%	Brady <i>et al.</i> (2017): 1
de Sousa <i>et al.</i> (2018): 60%	Mihanović <i>et al.</i> (2021): 1
Health status:	de Sousa <i>et al.</i> (2018): 1
Brady <i>et al.</i> (2017): BMI 30	
Mihanović <i>et al.</i> (2021): BMI 20	
de Sousa <i>et al.</i> (2018): Not reported	

			Similar eligibility	Broadly similar devices/procedures	Different definitions			
Complications (infections, additional interventions, reoperations)	3 studies:		Brady <i>et al.</i> (2017): Patients attending for laparoscopic resections of right and sigmoid colectomies	Brady <i>et al.</i> (2017): LigaSure Sealer/Divider 5 mm–37 cm for laparoscopic colorectal surgery	de Sousa <i>et al.</i> (2018): Infections, reoperations, re-hospitalisations			
		Similar	Mihanović <i>et al.</i> (2021): All patients with acute appendicitis	Mihanović <i>et al.</i> (2021): Ultrasonic scalpel/shears/scissors for laparoscopic appendectomy	Mihanović <i>et al.</i> (2021): Complications (intraoperative, postoperative, reoperations)	Different	Different time periods	
			de Sousa <i>et al.</i> (2018): All surgical interventions performed in 2014 in which ultrasonic scalpel/shears/scissors (Harmonic ACE® (5 mm/36 cm C/rod) and the linear suture machine GIA Covidien™ with cut and anastomosis (No. 55/60-3.8, No. 75/80-3.8, and No. 75/80-4.8) were used (various regions of body)	de Sousa <i>et al.</i> (2018): Ultrasonic scalpel/shears/scissors (ACE®) for digestive or thoracic surgery (intestines, stomach, oesophagus)	Brady <i>et al.</i> (2017): Additional interventions required, reoperations	Brady <i>et al.</i> (2017): NRCT	de Sousa <i>et al.</i> (2018): 30 days	Does not meet criteria for meta-analysis – different devices
						Mihanović <i>et al.</i> (2021): RCT	Mihanović <i>et al.</i> (2021): 30 days	
					Similar reporting	de Sousa <i>et al.</i> (2018): NRCT	Brady <i>et al.</i> (2017): Surgery to discharge	
					de Sousa <i>et al.</i> (2018): %			
				Different locations				
					Mihanović <i>et al.</i> (2021): n, %			
			Different demographics	Brady <i>et al.</i> (2017): External				
			Age: Brady <i>et al.</i> (2017): 66 years	Mihanović <i>et al.</i> (2021): Internal	Brady <i>et al.</i> (2017): n, %			
			Mihanović <i>et al.</i> (2021): 15 years	de Sousa <i>et al.</i> (2018): External				

de Sousa <i>et al.</i> (2018): 57 years	
% female: Brady <i>et al.</i> (2017): 50%	Same number of reprocessing cycles:
Mihanović <i>et al.</i> (2021): 20%	Brady <i>et al.</i> (2017): 1
de Sousa <i>et al.</i> (2018): 60%	Mihanović <i>et al.</i> (2021): 1
Health status: Brady <i>et al.</i> (2017): BMI 30	de Sousa <i>et al.</i> (2018): 1
Mihanović <i>et al.</i> (2021): BMI 20	
de Sousa <i>et al.</i> (2018): Not reported	