Attachment 1: Magdeburg reflective writing feedback and scoring rubric (task, guiding questions and scoring rubric)

Assignment:

Please write a reflective essay about a concrete situation. Choose a situation connected to your studies or occupation in which you have felt challenged during the interaction with a patient, a patient owner, a fellow student or a teacher.

Elaboration:

- 1) Scope: one to a maximum four pages (about 2,000 to a maximum of 8,000 characters without spaces)
- 2) Necessary information on the reflective essay:
 - Your first name and surname
 - Degree program
 - Semester
 - Number of reflective essays already submitted
 - Setting (course, internship, clinic, practice, block internship, ...)

Key questions for the reflective essay

- What specific situation or challenge do you refer to in your reflective essay? Try to be as neutral as possible.
- What emotions did you perceive in the situation and how do you explain the occurrence or the emergence of these emotions?
- How could other persons that were involved have perceived this situation? How does this view relate to your own perspective?
- What influence did your experience or previous reflections have on the course of the situation?
- What different external sources (such as peer or teacher feedback and literature review) could you use to assess the situation and draw conclusions for the future?
- How do you assess the overall experience based on the previous reflection?
- Which action strategy (s) would be suitable for you for similar situations in the future? What consequences could result from using your future action strategy (s)?

Feedback on the reflective essay

First name, surname: Degree program: Semester: Number of reflective essays already submitted: Setting (course, internship, clinic, practice, block internship, ...):

Item	Assessment based on the coding guide:	Score:
	Points each $\Box \ 2 \ \Box \ 1 \ \Box \ 0$, please mark clearly: \boxtimes . If you want to correct the selected,	
	please underline it clearly. If you want to return to the previous selection after a	
	correction, please underline the selection that should apply.	
1	General comprehensibility:	
	\Box fully comprehensible \Box partially comprehensible \Box difficult to comprehend	
2	Reference to the task:	
	□clearly recognizable □partially recognizable □hardly recognizable/not present	
3	Description of the situation:	
	□fully comprehensible □partially comprehensible □difficult to comprehend/not present	
4	Description of own emotions:	
	\Box fully comprehensible \Box partially comprehensible \Box difficult to comprehend/not present	
	Employed from the second from the	
5	Explanation of own emotions:	
(
6	Describing the perspective of the counterpart:	
	□fully comprehensible □partially comprehensible □difficult to comprehend/not present	
7	Relating the perspective of the counterpart to own perspective:	
,	□ fully comprehensible □ partially comprehensible □ difficult to comprehend/not present	
8	Influence of previous experiences and reflections:	
	☐ □fully comprehensible □partially comprehensible □difficult to comprehend/not present	
9	Selection of external sources (e.g. feedback, literature):	
	□ □more than one source, sources of different origin □only one source or sources of same	
	origin	
10	Assessment of the situation:	
	□ fully comprehensible □partially comprehensible □difficult to comprehend/not present	
11	Action strategy:	
	\square concrete \square not concrete \square not present	
	Expectations regarding the use of the future setion strategy (a)	
12	Expectations regarding the use of the future action strategy(s): \Box fully comprehensible \Box difficult to comprehend/not present.	
	\Box fully comprehensible \Box partially comprehensible \Box difficult to comprehend/not present	
	Total score (max. 24)	

Attachment 1 to Ramspott S, Sonntag U, Härtl A, Rüttermann S, Roller D, Giesler M, Hempel L. *MaReS (Magdeburg Reflective Writing Scoring Rubric for Feedback) – development of a feedback method for reflective writing in health professions education: A pilot study in veterinary medicine.* GMS J Med Educ. 2025;42(2):Doc28. DOI: 10.3205/zma001752