

Pentachlorophenol – Addendum for re-evaluation of EKA

Assessment Values in Biological Material – Translation of the German version from 2019

D. Walter¹
H. Drexler^{2,*}

A. Hartwig^{3,*}
MAK Commission^{4,*}

Keywords

pentachlorophenol, PCP, EKA,
exposure equivalents for
carcinogenic substances

¹ Institute and Outpatient Clinic of Occupational and Social Medicine, University Hospital Gießen and Marburg, Aulweg 129, 35392 Gießen, Germany

² Chair of the Working Group "Setting of Threshold Limit Values in Biological Material", Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, Institute and Outpatient Clinic of Occupational, Social and Environmental Medicine, Friedrich-Alexander University Erlangen-Nürnberg (FAU), Henkestr. 9–11, 91054 Erlangen, Germany

³ Chair of the Permanent Senate Commission for the Investigation of Health Hazards of Chemical Compounds in the Work Area, Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, Department of Food Chemistry and Toxicology, Institute of Applied Biosciences, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Adenauerring 20a, Building 50.41, 76131 Karlsruhe, Germany

⁴ Permanent Senate Commission for the Investigation of Health Hazards of Chemical Compounds in the Work Area, Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, Kennedyallee 40, 53175 Bonn, Germany

* E-Mail: H. Drexler (hans.drexler@fau.de), A. Hartwig (andrea.hartwig@kit.edu), MAK Commission (arbeitsstoffkommission@dfg.de)

Abstract

In 2018, the German Commission for the Investigation of Health Hazards of Chemical Compounds in the Work Area has re-evaluated the correlation between inhalation exposure to pentachlorophenol [87-86-5] and urinary pentachlorophenol excretion (exposure equivalents for carcinogenic substances (EKA)). The re-evaluation of the available literature led to the conclusion that the present data are limited and all available studies show limitations regarding to air exposure assessment and characterisation of possible dermal exposure of the workers. Thus, the EKA were withdrawn.

Citation Note:

Walter D, Drexler H,
Hartwig A, MAK Commission.
Pentachlorophenol – Addendum
for re-evaluation of EKA.
Assessment Values in Biological
Material – Translation of the
German version from 2019.
MAK Collect Occup Health
Saf. 2020 Oct;5(3):Doc061.
DOI: [10.34865/bb8786e5_3ad](https://doi.org/10.34865/bb8786e5_3ad)

Manuscript completed:
05 Jul 2018

Publication date:
09 Oct 2020

License: This article is distributed
under the terms of the Creative
Commons 4.0 International
License. See license information
at [https://creativecommons.org/
licenses/by/4.0/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)



EKA (2018) –

MAK value (1990) –

Absorption through the skin (1989) H

Carcinogenicity (1990) Category 2

Re-evaluation

Seven occupational studies investigated pentachlorophenol concentrations in urine and blood of exposed workers (ACGIH 2014; Table 1). Three studies (Begley et al. 1977; Klemmer et al. 1980; Lindroos et al. 1987), however, do not provide detailed information about air concentrations in the respective workplaces. In all studies, static sampling was performed to determine pentachlorophenol air concentrations instead of personal sampling; moreover, quantitative data on dermal exposure are missing. In a large number of cases, the pentachlorophenol concentrations determined by static sampling do not correlate with the internal exposure of the examined workers. The reason for this is the lack of data on the dermal exposure of the examined workers. Horstman et al. (1989) showed that 62% of pentachlorophenol dissolved in diesel oil penetrates human abdominal skin in vitro. The permeability coefficient for human skin in vivo is about 0.65 cm/h. This results in a significant increase in internal exposure (blood, serum/plasma) for pentachlorophenol in the case of dermal exposure (US EPA 1992).

Due to the limitations of the available studies described above and the overall very poor availability of data,

EKA for pentachlorophenol are withdrawn.

Tab. 1 Biomonitoring of pentachlorophenol – summary (ACGIH 2014)

Exposed workers (n)	Pentachlorophenol						References
	Air [$\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$]		Urine		Blood		
6	9.8	0.005– 15.3	164 ppb	41–760 ppb ^{f)}	1372 ppb ^{a), f)}	348– 3963 ppb ^{a), f)}	Wyllie et al. 1975
7	19	3–63	2.83 mg/l	0.12–9.68 mg/l	–	–	ACGIH 2014
11	6	3–69	0.98 mg/l	0.13–2.58 mg/l	–	–	
7	14	4–1000	1.24 mg/l	0.17–5.57 mg/l	–	–	
18	–	–	1.31 ppm	0.09–3.3 ppm ^{e)}	5.14 ppm ^{e)}	0.43– 14.0 ppm ^{e)}	Begley et al. 1977
18 urine/22 blood	–	–	0.95 ppm	< 0.01– 7.80 ppm ^{e)}	3.78 ppm ^{a), e)}	0.15– 17.4 ppm ^{a), e)}	Klemmer et al. 1980
23 urine/24 blood	–	–	0.27 ppm	< 0.01– 2.40 ppm ^{e)}	1.72 ppm ^{a), e)}	0.02– 7.70 ppm ^{a), e)}	
18	17.5	2–50	0.1 mg/g crea ^{d)}	0.01–2.11 mg/g crea	0.25 mg/l ^{a), d)}	0.02–1.5 mg/l ^{a)}	Zober et al. 1981
23	2.4	0.3–8.0	0.046 mg/g crea ^{d)}	0.006– 0.41 mg/g crea	1.0 mg/l ^{a), d)}	0.2–2.4 mg/l ^{a)}	

Tab. 1 (continued)

Exposed workers (n)	Pentachlorophenol			References	
	Air [$\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$]	Urine	Blood		
34	< 500	0.9 $\mu\text{mol}/\text{l}$	0.1–13.3 $\mu\text{mol}/\text{l}$	–	Lindroos et al. 1987
3	5 ppb ^{g)}	8 ppb ^{c), g)}	45 ppb ^{f)}	15 ppb ^{c), f)}	Embree et al. 1984
5		–	–	241 ppb ^{b), f)}	232 ppb ^{b), c), f)}

^{a)} Plasma level

^{b)} Serum level

^{c)} Standard deviation

^{d)} Median

^{e)} 1 ppm ~ 1 mg/l

^{f)} 1 ppb ~ 1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{l}$
^{g)} 1 ppb ~ 1 $\mu\text{l}/\text{m}^3$

crea: creatinine

References

- ACGIH (American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists) (2014) Pentachlorophenol. In: Documentation of the Threshold Limit Values and Biological Exposure Indices. ACGIH, Cincinnati, OH
- Begley J, Reichert EL, Rashad MN, Klemmer HW, Siemsen AW (1977) Association between renal function tests and pentachlorophenol exposure. Clin Toxicol 11: 97–106. DOI: [10.3109/15563657708989823](https://doi.org/10.3109/15563657708989823)
- Embree V, Enarson DA, Chan-Yeung M, Dybuncio A, Dennis R, Leach J (1984) Occupational exposure to chlorophenates: toxicology and respiratory effects. J Toxicol Clin Toxicol 22: 317–329. DOI: [10.3109/15563658408992563](https://doi.org/10.3109/15563658408992563)
- Horstman SW, Rossner A, Kalman DA, Morgan MS (1989) Penetration of pentachlorophenol and tetrachlorophenol through human skin. J Env Sci Health 24: 229–242. DOI: [10.1080/10934528909375477](https://doi.org/10.1080/10934528909375477)
- Klemmer HW, Wong L, Sato MM, Reichert EL, Korsak RJ, Rashad MN (1980) Clinical findings in workers exposed to pentachlorophenol. Arch Environ Contam Toxicol 9: 715–725. DOI: [10.1007/BF01055546](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01055546)
- Lindroos L, Koskinen H, Mutanen P, Järvisalo J (1987) Urinary chlorophenols in sawmill workers. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 59: 463–467. DOI: [10.1007/bf00377840](https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00377840)
- US EPA (US Environmental Protection Agency) (1992) Dermal exposure assessment: Principles and applications. US EPA, Washington, DC
- Wyllie J, Gabica J, Benson WW, Yoder J (1975) Exposure and contamination of the air and employees of a pentachlorophenol plant, Idaho—1972. Pestic Monit J 9: 150–153
- Zofer A, Schaller KH, Goßler K, Krekeler HJ (1981) Pentachlorophenol und Leberfunktion: Eine Untersuchung an beruflich belasteten Kollektiven. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 48: 347–356. DOI: [10.1007/BF00378683](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00378683)