

Cresol (all isomers) – Addendum: re-evaluation of BLW and BAT value

Assessment Values in Biological Material – Translation of the German version from 2021

A. Rettenmeier¹
H. Drexler^{2,*}

A. Hartwig^{3,*}
MAK Commission^{4,*}

Keywords

cresol (all isomers); o-cresol;
m-cresol; p-cresol; biological
tolerance value; BAT value;
biological guidance value; BLW

- ¹ Institute for Medical Informatics, Biometry and Epidemiology (IMIBE), University Hospital Essen, Hufelandstraße 55, 45122 Essen, Germany
- ² Head of the working group "Assessment Values in Biological Material" of the Permanent Senate Commission for the Investigation of Health Hazards of Chemical Compounds in the Work Area, Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, Institute and Outpatient Clinic of Occupational, Social and Environmental Medicine, Friedrich-Alexander University (FAU) Erlangen-Nürnberg, Henkestraße 9–11, 91054 Erlangen, Germany
- ³ Chair of the Permanent Senate Commission for the Investigation of Health Hazards of Chemical Compounds in the Work Area, Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, Institute of Applied Biosciences, Department of Food Chemistry and Toxicology, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Adenauerring 20a, Building 50.41, 76131 Karlsruhe, Germany
- ⁴ Permanent Senate Commission for the Investigation of Health Hazards of Chemical Compounds in the Work Area, Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, Kennedyallee 40, 53175 Bonn, Germany

* email: H. Drexler (hans.drexler@fau.de), A. Hartwig (andrea.hartwig@kit.edu), MAK Commission (arbeitsstoffkommission@dfg.de)

Abstract

The German Commission for the Investigation of Health Hazards of Chemical Compounds in the Work Area has re-evaluated cresol (all isomers) [1319-77-3] and evaluated a maximum workplace concentration (MAK value) of 1 ml cresol/m³. Only one study has been published in which the relationship between external exposure to cresol and cresol excretion in urine was investigated. However, the time-weighted average of external exposure in this study was far below the current MAK value. Data on the relationship between internal exposure and effects are not available. As appropriate data for deriving the critical internal dose for cresol are lacking, a biological tolerance value (BAT value) for this compound cannot be established and the biological guidance value (BLW) was withdrawn.

Citation Note:

Rettenmeier A, Drexler H, Hartwig A, MAK Commission. Cresol (all isomers) – Addendum: re-evaluation of BLW and BAT value. Assessment Values in Biological Material – Translation of the German version from 2021. MAK Collect Occup Health Saf. 2021 Dec;6(4):Doc089. DOI: https://doi.org/10.34865/bb131977e6_4ad

Manuscript completed:
05 Feb 2020

Publication date:
30 Dec 2021

License: This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



BAT value (2020)	not established
BLW (2020)	not established
MAK value 2019	1 ml/m³ ≙ 4.5 mg/m³
Carcinogenicity	–
Absorption through the skin (1958)	H
Sensitization	–
Prenatal toxicity (2019)	Pregnancy Risk Group C

Chemical name	Synonyms	CAS number
Isomer mixture		
Methylphenol	Hydroxytoluene Methylhydroxybenzene Methyloxybenzene Oxytoluene	1319-77-3
Individual isomers		
o-Cresol	o-Cresylic acid 1-Hydroxy-2-methylbenzene 2-Hydroxytoluene 2-Methylphenol	95-48-7
m-Cresol	m-Cresylic acid 1-Hydroxy-3-methylbenzene 3-Hydroxytoluene 3-Methylphenol	108-39-4
p-Cresol	p-Cresylic acid 1-Hydroxy-4-methylbenzene 4-Hydroxytoluene 4-Methylphenol	106-44-5

Cresol (all isomers) was withdrawn from Carcinogen Category 3 B in 2019. Furthermore, a maximum workplace concentration (MAK value) of 1 ml/m³ (4.5 mg/m³) was set. The critical effect of cresols is local irritation, which manifests itself as a strong irritant to corrosive effect on the skin, eyes and mucous membranes of the upper respiratory tract. Valid inhalation studies with cresol to determine the irritation threshold of exposed persons, or animal studies are not available. Therefore, a 14-day inhalation study in rats with the structurally and physicochemically similar phenol was used to derive the MAK value. From the NOAEC (no observed adverse effect concentration) for local effects of 25 ml phenol/m³ determined in this study, a MAK value of 1 ml/m³ for cresol isomers is obtained after extrapolation from animal experiments to humans and application of the *preferred value approach* (Hartwig and MAK Commission 2020).

The previous biological guidance value (BLW) of 200 mg/l urine was derived from observations according to which the serum creatinine level does not rise above 10 mg/l if the proportion of free cresol determined in urine does not exceed 150 µg/l (Lewalter and Neumann 1998). Due to the lack of corresponding data, it was assumed, in analogy to the ratios between free and conjugated forms of phenol, that an excretion of 150 µg free cresols/l urine is not exceeded when up to 200 mg total cresol (free and conjugated) is excreted per litre urine. In the derivation, it was pointed out that approx. 6% of Central Europeans would not be sufficiently protected if only the excretion of total cresol would

be determined, due to a polymorphism of the isoenzymes of uridine diphosphate glucuronyltransferase (UDPG) that causes a delay of glucuronidation (Lewalter et al. 2005).

Since the derivation of the BLW for cresols in 2003, no studies have been published on the relationships between external and internal exposure or between internal exposure and effects. The only published data on occupationally exposed persons is the study by Bieniek (1997) with data on external exposure to cresol and cresol excretion in urine (18.7 mg total cresol/l urine) collected from 75 coke-plant workers. However, the external exposures determined in this study, with shift averages of 0.22 mg/m³ (0.05 ml/m³), are far below the current MAK value of 1 ml/m³ (4.5 mg/m³). Since there are no data for an extrapolation of these measured values to a concentration corresponding to the MAK value, a biological tolerance value (BAT value) cannot be derived.

Regarding the relationship between external exposure to cresol and effects, data are available from only one study. According to this study, eight out of ten persons exposed to o-cresol (vapour/aerosol mixture) at a concentration of 6 mg/m³ (1.34 ml/m³) for a short duration reported mucous membrane irritation, dryness, nasal constriction and irritation in the throat (Uzhdavini et al. 1972). Since information on the type and duration of exposure and on the analytical method is lacking, this study was not taken into account neither in the earlier evaluation of the BLW for cresols (Lewalter and Neumann 1998) nor in the current derivation of the MAK value (Hartwig and MAK Commission 2020).

When assessing the internal exposure, the physiological excretion of p-cresol in urine, which is due to the bacterial degradation of amino acids in the intestine and is dependent on the diet (Geypens et al. 1997; Patel et al. 2012), must be taken into account. Data on the excretion of cresols in persons not occupationally exposed to cresol or toluene are given in Table 1.

In 2019, p-cresol levels in the range from <0.5 to 164 mg/l urine with a mean of 20.9 mg/l (SD: 23.4 mg p-cresol/l urine) and a median of 12.8 mg/l urine were determined in 1297 examinations of workers not occupationally exposed to p-cresol according to a communication (Leng 2020).

Tab. 1 Excretion of cresols in urine (free plus conjugated) in persons not occupationally exposed to cresols or to toluene.

Persons [n]	Age [years]	Cresol			References
		o-Cresol	m-Cresol	p-Cresol	
		[mg/l urine]			
16	n. d.	<LOD	<LOD	29.0 ± 21.6 ^{c)} 23 (med)	Woiwode et al. 1979
8 (NS)	n. d.	0.06 (med) [mmol/mol crea]	n. d.	n. d.	Nise 1992
13 (S)	n. d.	0.18 (med) [mmol/mol crea]	n. d.	n. d.	
246 (♂)	n. d.	0.042 ± 0.007 ^{e)} 0.065 (med)	n. d.	n. d.	Inoue et al. 1994
271 (♀)	n. d.	0.023 ± 0.006 ^{e)} 0.028 (med)	n. d.	n. d.	
n. d.	n. d.	n. d.	n. d.	5.3 ± 3.6 (0.6 ± 0.9) ^{b), c)}	Ogata et al. 1995
175 (♂, NS)	38.6 (mean) (19–71) ^{d)}	0.023 ± 0.003 ^{e)}	n. d.	n. d.	Kawamoto et al. 1996
176 (♂, S)		0.063 ± 0.002 ^{e)}	n. d.	n. d.	
28 (♂, S) 6 (♀, S)	30.3 ± 8.6 ^{c)}	0.041 ± 0.003 ^{a)}	14.4 ± 2.88 ^{c)}		Bieniek 1997
45 (♂)	n. d.	0.015 (med)	0.036 (med)	29 (med)	Dills et al. 1997
29 (♂, NS) 25 (♂, S)	27.6 ± 10.4 ^{c)} (14–62) ^{d)}	0.012 ± 0.01 ^{c)} [mg/g crea]	n. d.	n. d.	Çok et al. 2003
30 (♂)	45.6 ± 6.7 ^{c)} (32–61) ^{d)}	0.048 ± 0.043 ^{c)} 0.032 ± 0.003 ^{e)} (0.003–0.210) ^{d)}	n. d.	n. d.	Inoue et al. 2004

Tab.1 (continued)

Persons [n]	Age [years]	o-Cresol	m-Cresol	p-Cresol	References
		[mg/l urine]			
10	n. d.	0.042 ± 0.057 ^{c)} 0.017 (med) (0.006–0.194) ^{d)}	0.156 ± 0.151 ^{c)} 0.089 (med) (0.024–0.423) ^{d)}	n. d.	Fustinoni et al. 2005
18 (♂) 7 (♀)	32.8 ± 8.5 ^{c)}	< LOD	29.3 (22.4–41.4) ^{f)}		González-Yebra et al. 2006
57 (NS)	n. d.	0.029 ± 0.016 ^{c)} 0.028 (med) (0.006–0.090) ^{d)}		n. d.	Fustinoni et al. 2007
30 (S)	n. d.	0.085 ± 0.075 ^{c)} 0.063 (med) (0.024–0.401) ^{d)}		n. d.	
17 (NS)	n. d.	0.023 (med) (< 0.01–0.033) ^{d)}	0.043 (med) (0.016–0.148) ^{d)}	n. d.	Schettgen et al. 2015
13 (S)	n. d.	0.033 (med) (0.012–0.053) ^{d)}	0.129 (med) (0.027–0.495) ^{d)}	n. d.	

^{a)} n = 27

^{b)} conjugated and free form (in brackets)

^{c)} mean ± standard deviation

^{d)} range

^{e)} geometric mean ± standard deviation

^{f)} median (25th–75th percentile)

crea: creatinine; LOD: limit of detection; med: median; n. d.: no data; NS: non-smokers; S: smokers

Evaluation

Based on unpublished occupational health experience of Lewalter, the current MAK value of 1 ml/m³ would result in a BAT value of 75 mg cresol (sum of all isomers after hydrolysis)/l urine with a sampling time at the end of exposure or end of shift.

Since measurement results of Lewalter are no longer available, there are no published data that allow the derivation of a BAT value or a BLW for cresols.

Therefore, a BAT value could not be established; the BLW has been withdrawn.

Notes

Competing interests

The established rules and measures of the Commission to avoid conflicts of interest (https://www.dfg.de/en/dfg_profile/statutory_bodies/senate/health_hazards/conflicts_interest/index.html) ensure that the content and conclusions of the publication are strictly science-based.

References

- Bieniek G (1997) Urinary excretion of phenols as an indicator of occupational exposure in the coke-plant industry. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health* 70(5): 334–340. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s004200050227>
- Çok I, Dagdelen A, Gökçe E (2003) Determination of urinary hippuric acid and o-cresol levels as biological indicators of toluene exposure in shoe-workers and glue sniffers. *Biomarkers* 8(2): 119–127. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/1354750031000119398>
- Dills RL, Bellamy GM, Kalman DA (1997) Quantitation of o-, m- and p-cresol and deuterated analogs in human urine by gas chromatography with electron capture detection. *J Chromatogr B Biomed Sci Appl* 703(1–2): 105–113. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0378-4347\(97\)00407-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0378-4347(97)00407-6)
- Fustinoni S, Mercadante R, Campo L, Scibetta L, Valla C, Foà V (2005) Determination of urinary ortho- and meta-cresol in humans by head-space SPME gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. *J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci* 817(2): 309–317. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2004.12.029>
- Fustinoni S, Mercadante R, Campo L, Scibetta L, Valla C, Consonni D, Foà V (2007) Comparison between urinary o-cresol and toluene as biomarkers of toluene exposure. *J Occup Environ Hyg* 4(1): 1–9. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/15459620601044844>
- Geypens B, Claus D, Evenepoel P, Hiele M, Maes B, Peeters M, Rutgeerts P, Ghooys Y (1997) Influence of dietary protein supplements on the formation of bacterial metabolites in the colon. *Gut* 41(1): 70–76. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1136/gut.41.1.70>
- González-Yebra A-L, Kornhauser C, Wrobel K, Pérez-Luque E-L, Wrobel K, Barbosa G (2006) Occupational exposure to toluene and its possible causative role in renal damage development in shoe workers. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health* 79(3): 259–264. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00420-005-0001-7>
- Hartwig A, MAK Commission (2020) Kresol (alle Isomere). MAK-Begründung, Nachtrag. MAK Collect Occup Health Saf 5(4): Doc071. DOI: https://doi.org/10.34865/mb131977d5_4ad
- Inoue O, Seiji K, Watanabe T, Chen Z, Huang MY, Xu XP, Qiao X, Ikeda M (1994) Effects of smoking and drinking habits on urinary o-cresol excretion after occupational exposure to toluene vapor among Chinese workers. *Am J Ind Med* 25(5): 697–708. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajim.4700250509>
- Inoue O, Kanno E, Kasai K, Ukai H, Okamoto S, Ikeda M (2004) Benzylmercapturic acid is superior to hippuric acid and o-cresol as a urinary marker of occupational exposure to toluene. *Toxicol Lett* 147(2): 177–186. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxlet.2003.11.003>
- Kawamoto T, Koga M, Oyama T, Kodama Y (1996) Habitual and genetic factors that affect urinary background levels of biomarkers for organic solvent exposure. *Arch Environ Contam Toxicol* 30(1): 114–120. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s002449900015>
- Leng G (2020) Data on background exposure on p-cresol. Email, 03 Feb 2020
- Lewalter J, Neumann H-G (1998) Biologische Arbeitsstoff-Toleranzwerte (Biomonitoring). Teil XII: Die Bedeutung der individuellen Empfindlichkeit beim Biomonitoring. *Arbeitsmed Sozialmed Umweltmed* 33: 352–364
- Lewalter J, Rettenmeier A, Leng G (2005) Cresol (all isomers). BAT Value Documentation, 2003. In: Drexler H, Greim H (eds) The MAK-Collection for Occupational Health and Safety. Part II: BAT Value Documentations, vol 4. Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 180–188. Also available from DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/3527600418.bb131977e0004>
- Nise G (1992) Urinary excretion of o-cresol and hippuric acid after toluene exposure in rotogravure printing. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health* 63(6): 377–381. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00386931>
- Ogata N, Matsushima N, Shibata T (1995) Pharmacokinetics of wood creosote: glucuronic acid and sulfate conjugation of phenolic compounds. *Pharmacology* 51(3): 195–204. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1159/000139335>
- Patel KP, Luo FJ-G, Plummer NS, Hostetter TH, Meyer TW (2012) The production of p-cresol sulfate and indoxyl sulfate in vegetarians versus omnivores. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 7(6): 982–988. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2215/CJN.12491211>
- Schettgen T, Alt A, Dewes P, Kraus T (2015) Simple and sensitive GC/MS-method for the quantification of urinary phenol, o- and m-cresol and ethylphenols as biomarkers of exposure to industrial solvents. *J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci* 995–996: 93–100. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2015.05.023>
- Uzhdavini ER, Astaf'yeva IK, Mamayeva AA, Bakhtizina GZ (1972) [Inhalation toxicity of o-cresol]. *Tr Ufimskogo Nauchno-Issledovatel'skogo Inst Gig Prof Zabol* 7: 115–119
- Woiwode W, Wodarz R, Drysch K, Weichardt H (1979) Metabolism of toluene in man: gas-chromatographic determination of o-, m- and p-cresol in urine. *Arch Toxicol* 43(2): 93–98. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00333615>