



# Changes in the Classification of Carcinogenic Chemicals in the Work Area

MAK Value Documentation, supplement – Translation of the German version from 2021

A. Hartwig<sup>1,\*</sup>

MAK Commission<sup>2,\*</sup>

#### Keywords

carcinogens; classification; classification criteria; substance in the work area; maximum workplace concentration

- 1 Chair of the Permanent Senate Commission for the Investigation of Health Hazards of Chemical Compounds in the Work Area, Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, Institute of Applied Biosciences, Department of Food Chemistry and Toxicology, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Adenauerring 20a, Building 50.41, 76131 Karlsruhe, Germany
- 2 Permanent Senate Commission for the Investigation of Health Hazards of Chemical Compounds in the Work Area, Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, Kennedyallee 40, 53175 Bonn, Germany
- \* email: A. Hartwig (andrea.hartwig@kit.edu), MAK Commission (arbeitsstoffkommission@dfg.de)

### **Abstract**

According to the criteria of the MAK Commission, carcinogenic substances for which a MAK or BAT value can be derived are classified in Carcinogen Category 4 or 5 if their mechanism of action is known and their contribution to the cancer risk for humans is considered to be nonexistent or very low if the MAK or BAT value is observed. To date, substances that in general fulfill these criteria but for which there are not enough data available to derive a MAK or BAT value have been assigned to Category 3 A to indicate this gap in the dataset. Since the introduction of Carcinogen Category 3 A in 2000, however, the substances classified in this category have frequently been misinterpreted in practice as only "suspected of being carcinogenic". Therefore, Category 3 A has been removed from the classification system and the substances have been reassigned to Carcinogen Category 1 or 2 and given the annotation "*Prerequisite for Category 4 (or 5) in principle fulfilled, but insufficient data available for the establishment of a MAK or BAT value*" in the List of MAK and BAT Values. Category 3 B for substances suspected of being carcinogenic has been renamed Category 3.

Citation Note:
Hartwig A, MAK Commission.
Changes in the Classification
of Carcinogenic Chemicals in
the Work Area. MAK Value
Documentation, supplement –
Translation of the German
version from 2021. MAK
Collect Occup Health Saf. 2022
Mar;7(1):Doc012.
https://doi.org/10.34865/
mb0ckat3egt7\_1ad

Manuscript completed: 30 Mar 2020

Publication date: 31 Mar 2022

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.





# **Changes in Carcinogen Category 3**

New criteria for the classification of carcinogenic substances in the work area were adopted by the Commission in 1998 (Greim 1999); further definitions were elaborated by the supplements published in 2000 and 2006 (Greim 2000, 2006, available in German only). According to these criteria, carcinogenic substances in the work area are assigned to Carcinogen Categories 4 or 5 if these substances do not or only marginally contribute to the cancer risk for humans if exposure remains within the limits established by the MAK or BAT values.

However, this means that substances may only be classified in Categories 4 or 5 if the derivation of a MAK or BAT value is possible. In the past, if this was not possible, the substances were classified in Category 3 A to draw attention to the lack of data and to encourage the generation of relevant data.

Since Category 3 A was introduced in 2000, however, these criteria have often been misinterpreted in practice with respect to the carcinogenic effects induced by the substances classified in this category. These substances have a carcinogenic potential, but their mechanism of action suggests the existence of a "no adverse effect level" (NAEL), or dose or concentration at which the substance does not induce adverse effects. However, the available data do not allow the derivation of a MAK value. Category 3 A has been discontinued to avoid these substances being interpreted merely as "suspected carcinogens". The substances previously classified in this category have been re-evaluated and assigned to one of the other carcinogen categories or removed from the carcinogen categories on the basis of new findings relating to the relevance of specific types of tumours or other adverse effects. Examples of substances that were re-classified or taken out of Carcinogen Category 3 A are "Dichloroacetic acid and its salts" (Hartwig and MAK Commission 2021) and "Nitrilotriacetic acid and its sodium salts" (Hartwig and MAK Commission 2022 b), which have been re-classified in Carcinogen Category 4, or "Cresol (all isomers)" (Hartwig and MAK Commission 2022 a), which is no longer considered a carcinogenic substance.

In future, carcinogenic substances of Categories 1 or 2 for which the existence of a NAEL for carcinogenic effects may be assumed on the basis of their mechanism of action, but for which a MAK value cannot be derived for lack of sufficient data, will be listed in Section II and III of the List of MAK and BAT Values with the annotation "Prerequisite for Category 4 (or 5) in principle fulfilled, but insufficient data available for the establishment of a MAK or BAT value".

Substances suspected of inducing carcinogenic effects, but for which no definite conclusions about a possible carcinogenic potential can be drawn because of an overall lack of data, shall remain in the former Category 3B for suspected carcinogens. This category has now been renamed Category 3:

#### Category 3:

Substances that give cause for concern because there is evidence of carcinogenicity or a potential for inducing carcinogenic effects, but no definite conclusions can be drawn for lack of sufficient information. This is a provisional classification.

After reviewing all of the available data, the evidence suggests a carcinogenic effect. However, the evidence is not strong enough to classify the substance in a different category. A final assessment can only be made after further studies have been performed. A MAK or BAT value may be derived if the substance or its metabolites do not cause genotoxic effects or the genotoxic effects are not the primary effects.

#### Notes

# **Competing interests**

The established rules and measures of the Commission to avoid conflicts of interest (www.dfg.de/mak/conflicts\_interest) ensure that the content and conclusions of the publication are strictly science-based.



# References

- Greim H, editor (1999) Changes in the Classification of Carcinogenic Chemicals in the Work Area. MAK Value Documentation, 1998. In: Occupational Toxicants. Volume 12. Weinheim: Wiley-VCH. p. 3–12. Also available from https://doi.org/10.1002/3527600418.mb0ckate0012
- Greim H, editor (2000) Änderung der Einstufung krebserzeugender Arbeitsstoffe. In: Gesundheitsschädliche Arbeitsstoffe, Toxikologisch-arbeitsmedizinische Begründung von MAK-Werten. 30th issue. Weinheim: Wiley-VCH. Also available from https://doi.org/10.1002/3527600418. mb0ckatd0030
- Greim H, editor (2006) Änderung der Einstufung krebserzeugender Arbeitsstoffe. In: Gesundheitsschädliche Arbeitsstoffe, Toxikologisch-arbeitsmedizinische Begründung von MAK-Werten. 40th issue. Weinheim: Wiley-VCH. Also available from https://doi.org/10.1002/3527600418. mb0ckatd0040
- Hartwig A, MAK Commission (2021) Dichloroacetic acid and its salts. MAK Value Documentation, supplement Translation of the German version from 2019. MAK Collect Occup Health Saf 6(3): Doc057. https://doi.org/10.34865/mb7943verae6\_3ad
- Hartwig A, MAK Commission (2022 a) Cresol (all isomers). MAK Value Documentation, supplement Translation of the German version from 2020. MAK Collect Occup Health Saf 7(1): Doc006. https://doi.org/10.34865/mb131977e7\_1ad
- $Hartwig\ A,\ MAK\ Commission\ (2022\ b)\ Nitriloacetic\ acid\ and\ its\ sodium\ salts.\ MAK\ Value\ Documentation,\ supplement\ -\ Translation\ of\ the\ German\ version\ from\ 2020.\ MAK\ Collect\ Occup\ Health\ Saf\ 7(1):\ Doc008.\ https://doi.org/10.34865/mb13913e7\_1ad$